UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,829	07/16/2009	John Keith Knight	CUL-PT001	7226
3624 VOLPE AND K	7590 01/21/201 KOENIG, P.C.	1	EXAM	IINER
UNITED PLAZ	ZA .		FIGUEROA, ADRIANA	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3633	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eoffice@volpe-koenig.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/585,829	KNIGHT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ADRIANA FIGUEROA	3633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reput dividing apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. rance except for formal matte	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2, 3 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second second second and the second seco	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance oction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pr	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s).	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/12/2006</u> .		ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/585,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3633

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Australia on 1/13/2004. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, the correct phrase is "a pole standing upright in the ground". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reisdorff (US 6,151,860).

Regarding claim 1, Reisdorff discloses a method of reinstating a pole standing upright in the ground comprising, abutting an inner surface of a sleeve of a bridging beam13, 15 against an outer surface of the pole so as to have a lower portion of the bridging beam penetrating the ground and an upper portion of the bridging beam projecting above the ground, (Fig 15, 16)

Art Unit: 3633

arranging a plurality of locating members 18 around the outer surface of the pole, and securing the bridging beam to the pole by strapping surrounding the pole held in place with respect to the pole by the locating members, 15-17.

Regarding claim 4, Reisdorff discloses wherein the bridging beam is initially abutted against the pole with the bottom of the bridging beam 13, 15 resting on the ground and the bridging beam is driven into the ground whilst maintaining the bridging beam in abutment with the pole, (Fig 2-5), (Col 3, Lines 12-20).

Regarding claim 7, Reisdorff discloses wherein at least four straps 17, 19 arranged at different positions along the length of the pole are used to secure the bridging beam to the pole, (Fig 15).

Regarding claim 8, Reisdorff discloses wherein at least two locating members 18 are used to hold each strap in place, (Fig 17).

Regarding claim 9, Reisdorff discloses a pole reinstated in accordance with the method of claim 8, (Fig 15).

Regarding claim 10, Reisdorff discloses a bridging beam assembly comprising a bridging beam 13, 15, locating members 18 and strapping as defined in claim 1 when used in a method for reinstating a pole as defined in claim 1, (Fig 2-5, 15-17).

Regarding claim 11, Reisdorff discloses a bridging beam for reinstating a pole comprising, an elongate sleeve 13, 15 shaped so as to be able to abut the surface of the pole 10 parallel to the longitudinal axis of the pole, (Fig 15-17) an elongate longitudinally extending raised portion 13c, 15c of the sleeve forming a channel shaped cavity, (Fig 16, 17) a brace 31, 32 shaped so as to generally fit snugly in the channel

Art Unit: 3633

shaped cavity, (Fig 17) and securement means 18 for removably securing the brace within the cavity, (Fig 15-17).

4. Claims 1, 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knight (US 4,697,396).

Regarding claim 1, Knight discloses a method of reinstating a pole 2 standing upright in the ground comprising, (Fig 1a-1c) abutting an inner surface of a sleeve of a bridging beam12 against an outer surface of the pole so as to have a lower portion of the bridging beam penetrating the ground and an upper portion of the bridging beam projecting above the ground, (Fig 1a-1c), (Col 3, Lines 19-31)

arranging a plurality of locating members 54 around the outer surface of the pole, and securing the bridging beam to the pole by strapping 16 surrounding the pole held in place with respect to the pole by the locating members, (Fig 1a-1c, 9), (Col 4, Lines 28-39).

Regarding claim 5, Knight discloses wherein, locating holes 56 are cut so that they extend radially into the pole from the outer surface of the pole, the locating holes having a depth substantially less than the radius of the pole, and the locating members 54 are disposed to extend into and be held in the locating holes, (Fig 7-10a).

Regarding claim 6, Knight discloses wherein the locating members 54 extend through corresponding locating holes in the sleeve, (Fig 7-10a).

Application/Control Number: 10/585,829 Page 5

Art Unit: 3633

5. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Felt (US 3,420,032).

Regarding claim 11, Felt discloses a bridging beam capable of reinstating a pole comprising, an elongate sleeve 6 shaped so as to be able to abut the surface of the pole (the edges of flanges 7 and 8 are capable of abutting the surface of a pole), parallel to the longitudinal axis of the pole, (Fig 1-3) an elongate longitudinally extending raised portion of the sleeve forming a channel shaped cavity, (cavity formed by flanges 7, 8 and web 10), (Fig 1-3) a brace 1 shaped so as to generally fit snugly in the channel shaped cavity, (Fig 2, 3) and securement means 10 for removably securing the brace within the cavity, (Fig 1-3).

Regarding claim 15, Felt discloses wherein the securement means 10 comprise a hook member and a stop member mounted in the channel shaped cavity, the hook member and stop member each extending into an aperture 5 formed in the brace 1, (Fig 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reisdorff (US 6,151,860) in view of Knight (US 5,815,994). Reisdorff discloses as

Application/Control Number: 10/585,829

Art Unit: 3633

discussed in claim 11, but does not disclose a pair of longitudinally extending edges on opposite sides of the elongate sleeve each provided with a flange arranged so as to extend outwardly from the pole. However, Knight teaches a bridging beam 1 having a pair of longitudinally extending edges on opposite sides of the elongate sleeve 2 each provided with a flange 9 arranged so as to extend outwardly from the pole (Fig 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the arts at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the elongated sleeve of Reisdorff to include flanges as taught by Knight in order to reinforce the edges of the sleeve.

Page 6

Reisdorff (US 6,151,860) in view of Beecher (US 1,817,342). Reisdorff discloses as discussed in claim 11, but does not disclose opposed holes in opposite sides of the raised portion positioned so as to align with corresponding holes in the brace, the arrangement of holes being such that strapping may be threaded through the opposed holes and corresponding holes to allow the strapping to pass through the bridging beam and encircle the pole. However, Beecher teaches a bridging beam 12, 13 having opposed holes 33, 34 in opposite sides of the raised portion, (Fig 1, 3), the arrangement of holes being such that strapping 29, 30, 31, 32 may be threaded through the opposed holes to allow the strapping to pass through the bridging beam and encircle the pole, (Fig 1, 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the arts at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the elongated sleeve of Reisdorff

to include opposed holes as taught by Beecher in order to better secure the straps to the bridging beam.

Page 7

The modified assembly of Reisdorff and Beecher would have opposed holes located in the brace corresponding with the opposed holes of the bridging beam to allow the strapping to be threaded through the brace.

Reisdorff (US 6,151,860) in view of Beecher (US 1,817,342) and further in view of Knight (US 5,815,994). Reisdorff modified by Beecher discloses as discussed in claim 13, but does not disclose a pair of longitudinally extending edges on opposite sides of the elongate sleeve each provided with a flange arranged so as to extend outwardly from the pole wherein each flange is provided with a complementary holes through which the strapping may be fed. However, Knight teaches a bridging beam 1 having a pair of longitudinally extending edges on opposite sides of the elongate sleeve 2 each provided with a flange 9 arranged so as to extend outwardly from the pole (Fig 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the arts at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the elongated sleeve of Reisdorff and Beech to include flanges as taught by Knight in order to reinforce the edges of the sleeve.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the bridging beam to have each flange provided with complementary holes through which the strapping may be fed since such a modification would have involved a mere duplication

Art Unit: 3633

of parts and would allow the strap to be strapped through it and it will prevent the strap from being damaged by the edge of the flange.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADRIANA FIGUEROA whose telephone number is (571)272-8281. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM - 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on (571)272-6754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,829 Page 9

Art Unit: 3633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian E. Glessner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3633

/ADRIANA FIGUEROA/ Examiner, Art Unit 3633 01/12/2011